MDR Tracking Number: M5-04-3569-01

Under the provisions of Section 413.031 of the Texas Workers' Compensation Act, Title 5, Subtitle A of the Texas Labor Code, effective June 17, 2001 and Commission Rule 133.305 titled Medical Dispute Resolution - General and 133.308 titled Medical Dispute Resolution by Independent Review Organizations, the Medical Review Division assigned an IRO to conduct a review of the disputed medical necessity issues between the requestor and the respondent. The dispute was received on 6-22-04.

The Medical Review Division has reviewed the IRO decision and determined that **the requestor prevailed** on the issues of medical necessity. Therefore, upon receipt of this Order and in accordance with §133.308(r)(9), the Commission hereby orders the respondent and non-prevailing party to **refund the requestor \$650** for the paid IRO fee. For the purposes of determining compliance with the order, the Commission will add 20 days to the date the order was deemed received as outlined on page one of this order.

In accordance with §413.031(e), it is a defense for the carrier if the carrier timely complies with the IRO decision.

Based on review of the disputed issues within the request, the Medical Review Division has determined that **medical necessity was the only issue** to be resolved. The prescription medication CGK PLO cream dispensed from 6/24/03 through 1/08/04 was found to be medically necessary. The respondent raised no other reasons for denying reimbursement for the above listed service.

On this basis, and pursuant to §§402.042, 413.016, 413.031, and 413.019 of the Act, the Medical Review Division hereby ORDERS the respondent to pay the unpaid medical fees outlined above as follows:

- in accordance with the fair and reasonable rate as set forth in Commission Rule 133.1(a)(8) for dates of service through July 31, 2003;
- in accordance with TWCC reimbursement methodologies pharmaceutical services for dates of service after August 1, 2003 per Commission Rule 134.503 (a);
- plus all accrued interest due at the time of payment to the requestor within 20 days of receipt of this order.

This Order is applicable to dates of service 6/24/03 through 1/08/04 in this dispute.

The respondent is prohibited from asserting additional denial reasons relative to this Decision upon issuing payment to the requestor in accordance with this Order (Rule 133.307(j)(2)).

This Decision and Order is hereby issued this 24th day of August 2004.

Regina L. Cleave Medical Dispute Resolution Officer Medical Review Division

August 12, 2004

Rosalinda Lopez Texas Workers' Compensation Commission Medical Dispute Resolution

Fax: (512) 804-4868

Re: Medical Dispute Resolution

MDR #: M5-04-3569-01

TWCC#:

Injured Employee:

DOI: SS#:

IRO Certificate No.: 5055

Dear

___ has performed an independent review of the medical records of the above-named case to determine medical necessity. In performing this review, ___ reviewed relevant medical records, any documents provided by the parties referenced above, and any documentation and written information submitted in support of the dispute.

I am the Secretary and General Counsel of ____ and I certify that the reviewing healthcare professional in this case has certified to our organization that there are no known conflicts of interest that exist between him and any of the treating physicians or other health care providers or any of the physicians or other health care providers who reviewed this case for determination prior to referral to the Independent Review Organization.

Information and medical records pertinent to this medical dispute were requested from the Requestor and every named provider of care, as well as from the Respondent. The independent review was performed by a matched peer with the treating health care provider. This case was reviewed by a physician who is certified in Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation and Pain Management, and is currently on the TWCC Approved Doctor List.

REVIEWER'S REPORT

Information Provided for Review:

TWCC-60, Table of Disputed Services, EOB's Information provided by Treating Doctor: letter of medical necessity and office notes.

Clinical History:

The claimant sustained a work-related injury on ____, which subsequently developed into a lower extremity RSD. She went through extensive trials with various pain medications and was found to respond best to CGK cream.

Disputed Services:

Pharmacy (CGK PLO 0.2/10 #60).

Decision:

The reviewer disagrees with the determination of the insurance carrier and is of the opinion that the medication in dispute as stated above was medically necessary in this case.

Rationale:

The claimant clearly had a more than reasonable trial of various controlled medications for her right foot RSD. Of all medications tried, she responded best to CGK cream, which is among the reasonable and customary treatment alternatives for RSD pain in patients.

Sincerely,